

APPENDICES

Speaker 1

There is two behind the sentence over the government opening. First, I heard is they trust their belief that when this time they strike to deliver power anxiety for doing goods, but I was show you those things is not happening and second of all their unlucky is century criminal source she hasn't beauty fold. In the previous place, how could those things is true ladies and gentlemen because of their belief that the democracy itself is straight of holy direct of the people try to uploading directs or implying directs on the mayor was still majority, but I will show you to my even extension is not effect on those previously. Because of we know in the status quo unlucky said before with that she is actually not doing in the implicitly what I am going to tell you exactly she is doing is slanted being doing the crime because of in the previously she said to the in the student in the media that she said the... I don't want to be expected and interviewed by Moslems. It shows that she is not just doing an implicit but she is doing an act. It means she is doing those kinds of things explicitly. This is made us different in the opening opposition. And we know that when someone had a Noble Peace, it's mean that there is something grace or there is something act that she do almost all of the paper of this fuckin student in the trust. And in the Noble Peace, it means that she brings that the man stopper when she got a brother. And is actually the same thing that happening in my era because of we know unlocking is right up fooling the God of glorifying, that the human right fell highlighted which comes from the authoritarian. In the heart breaking, I said the century about the sentiment is better that they open actually towards human right fights. That it is alliances towards

Moslem can be passed of Buddha because of Buddhist might have nightmare. And when she got a Noble Peace, means that she becomes a super role modern. Or how she has acted as human race, but it valid. And when she revolved ladies and gentlemen because we are didn't previously explain to you that she is not contributing again in a function of human race of violation. Because of first one, as a role man human race violation she doing the wrong thing. When she said like Spiderman to be real by the Moslem, it means that shows hates towards the Moslem itself. And we know that the context of policies and context area, she does that in many reasons. It means shows to you those works refer to the Moslem in minor area. And it means that you have to the mocking area. What I decide to rebate, is the fire flashes she shows to have hates to the Moslem. And same time, as a human race violation towards Moslem, at the same time, at the human race by degree of violation. And that's way she backlashing that idea that supporting of human race let on. In a role model in political power, not a role model in a human racing, she's doing the bad wrong thing. Because of the status quo, we know she's unlucky is actually begging in the president sit. It means that she has the kind of super role model in the society that what kinds of athlete she has to do towards the human race violation. And why those things could be possible for society duplicating her action because we know region comes from society, and society choose people that has the same values with them. And it means that it shows her truth and it shows the society trust on him on or her. It means when she doing something, the society haven't had consist rating to get that racist. And as a year in a political research, it means that she is a perfect member from the society in a majority Buddhist like that in the previously. Because Aung Saan Suuki holding

the same as the Buddhist in the minority. Why those things is bad because of when she showing her hate speech in the previously, it means it showing her justification towards the Buddhist and the Moslem itself. And why that's fall ladies and gentlemen because of we know US and Korea is you create and act and justify. Then, tells the Moslem tries to justify and it shows to you that justify showing in minority. And it shows to you that is not happening with Moslem. And those idea will not be supported anymore.

Speaker 2

It's really funny when you blame someone, when they are prepare for the transformation government, they build a strategy, they bring neutral, they they want to get as much as the support as possible because they want to create a greater government the future that uphold the democracy and equality for all and you blame them because they just seen in that government just for like three months or four months ago, and you blame them because you say they fail to make the equality for the united people. We cannot blame them and suddenly revolve, the the everything that they have a..a.. like Noble Peace that she has in the..in the past ladies and gentlemen. Because we believe in here the status quo, the..the..the..the.. Situation in Myanmar is already progressive because why? Because Myanmar just create a new government, a...a..the... more better..a.. more transparent and democracy government just three months ago ladies and gentlemen because.. because..because..a.. rebutting their case, when the idea of how to change the..the.. Myanmar.. the..the..the.. Condition of Rohingyah. Because we believe this is very impossible to create the sudden changes inside the Myanmar itself. Because we really need to analyze the problem first we cannot do

the sudden changes towards the condition in the Rohingya itself. Because even for..for.. the..the example of nelson mandela, nelson mandela needs a very long time to change the situation of the black people itself right? But even, more importantly ladies and gentlemen the situation on that place, the society really hate this Rohingya people. Because it really impacts towards the Aung San Suu Kyi support because first majority hate Aung San's will because the moment that Aung' know because the moment that Aung San Suu Kyi put the side towards this Rohingya for the example.. first majority will hate Aung San Suu Kyi but most importantly, second.. oh..a... most importantly in the second point, majority to even more hate this Rohingya people ladies and gentlemen because there is a possibility like for the example we can't oppress because even the inspiration leader support this..this.. Rohingya people and like the..the.. people of Myanmar a..a.. the people of Myanmar will hate this Rohingya people. Because.. because.. in here we agree that Rohingya is stubborn and Rohingya is been helped ladies and gentlemen. But we believe Aung Saan Syuuki have a better approach to solve this problem. Now come to my case, it is a new hope for democracy Myanmar, but before that opening.. here is the thing ladies and gentlemen, Myanmar is facing the transformation era because.. because.. because.. because.. because.. because.. because.. they believe that Myanmar in this current status quo they have the transformation leader right? We have Aung San Suu Kyi that gives them all for everyone because it's the why Aung San Suu Kyi promises, the exactly what they say ladies and gentlemen.

But the problem is when you.. When you revoke this, the number.. The number plat the number peace that we already own back the Aung San Suu Kyi, you kill

them of for the majority to have the transformation leader itself, why? Because the moment when you live for, the society will think that their leader is not true leader ladies and gentlemen because..because.. Why? And the.. because..because.. the moment when you revoke this, society will don't trust like Aung San Suu Kyi anymore because they think Aung San Suu Kyi is don't have any difference with junta military, that she only like and she only like for the acceptance, not don't have like any.. don't..don't.. a..a..a.. not the sending's of the hope of the society and like the promises, why? And sometimes in the Myanmar right now in status quo, Myanmar have no other option of the leader that can bring the transformation itself because in the current status quo in Myanmar Aung San Suu Kyi is the only leader that promise you the better democracy itself because she directly.. Because she directly fight the junta military that glorifying the idea of the utilitarian itself. But even, but this we believe this is really problematic for the transformation of Myanmar even for the Rohingya itself.. because..because.. In our proposal we don't want to revoke this because we want to bring the greater because..because.. When you revoke this, you bring the greater harm towards the nation future itself, why?

Speaker 3

Because the moment when Aung San Suu Kyi fail to gain the support from the majority, authoritarian and junta military will still there right? Meaning of what? Meaning you still force the society leave the authoritarian and even create even worst condition because there will be no discussion, there will be no open... open minded of the society because..because.. We believe the..the..the.. Things that we need is in the idea of democracy itself, how people start to the..the discussion to..

to considering the...the..the..a.. the condition, and this is even worst in Rohingyah itself but talking about the Noble because..because.. we believe it is not a good for the constitution to begin with because we believe the number institution is should be independent towards condition and the politics, and towards the how the condition in the nation right? Because, this we believe, this institution is an independent team at the very first place ladies and gentlemen because.. because..because.. we believe.. because we believe, the..a.. this..a.. this institution need the idea of peace in one of coverage in the very first place because it is very wide ladies and gentlemen. There is no certain for example the construction, how..how..how.. the peace is because we believe, this is situation should be neutral at the very first place and analyze how people create this individually because of some extends, the society will wonder she how she doing this is somehow is subjective. Because for the example when the moment Pramudi Ananta creates the domination of the Noble, even Pramudi. A create a controversy in the in the Indonesia itself right? Meaning what? Meaning this institution need to see from the point of view because.. because in this situation a..a..a.. in this situation idea of this should be for the better for the society, that's why ladies and gentlemen even if Pramudi Ananta for the great controversy, we see the greater good, that's why we give, we give, the.. the domination of Noble itself because we believe Noble institution should be neutral and should be neutral itself. Because she follows certain opinion of what this Aung San Suu Kyi for being silent, meaning the great of neutrality of Noble institution is questionable ladies and gentlemen. We don't want the Noble institution as a highest like a..a.. highest trophy for the people who doing peace, how people doing betterment of the

certain opinion that don't really understand how the government that simply wants to sudden change in the in the country itself because.. because we cannot suddenly change the situation step by step, and Myanmar condition is already progressive, and we are really proud to prepare the trophy to Undip.

Speaker 4

Opening debate should actually answer the principal question whether the government action the the do after the gate Noble will be implement whether do it revoke or not. That is act act actually failed to answer where is opening debate because they only talking about what is actually this Aung San today but without any link back what actually what we need to do to discover itself, so closing opposition want to upholding that the basic principle question to win this debate.

First issue that we would like to talk about is actually why people is wrong and why not revoke is actually good sign?? Try to assume there is Noble peace is actually a debate competition like this for example this actually many people many candidate want to give their effort to win this competition for example we think that I'm as debater in Unsoed for example would not would like also to create atmosphere debate in Unsoed for example and I win this NUDC and bring trophy, but after that i just become a news anchor for example and not continue that debate and create development debate in Unsoed and suddenly at college right now just taking my trophy. Then then it's actually in-concept from government want because there is my failure because I'm not for example sustain to develop debate in Unsoed for example that suddenly achievement that I get at the time by my effort for example I'm trying hard to have training hard for

example I'm failed to do development after that. But it is actually that I -e-e right often-a-right often people to choose whether they continue or not right? We think that also the effort from that Aung San is actually enough because we think that they also have they he do actually a lot of things to showing how to-how protect this eee this Rohingyah and we think this protection is also inspired the society in that we think will impact to work the Noble competition in some Mr. and madam speaker. With the Noble because could be an increasing the the incredibility of the competition this would be increasing the credibility of the competition its will be decreasing the credibility of the Noble peace itself in the end of the day.

Because this said Noble Peace it's not easy there will be adjudicator they qualified there will be people who are doing more effort to the done with Mr. Speaker. They will be analyze who is actually deserve to become aaa to gain thats Noble itself. There is actually why Aung San at the time, sir! To have aaa Noble aaa get this Noble principle rights of San. We think it's actually bad in this government because just-I just only generalize what actually do by Aung San its actually not for a not aaa whether continuing aaaa continuing protection of Rohingyah because we think that is this assumption because they said in another cases for example in journalist-a-in journalist award for example there is a journalist for example that upholding the humanity for example then they get award for example at the time but we said because of that aaaa because of that the it doesn't mean when their society in that cases are not being upholding the humanity again. Doesn't mean you can take the award from that journalist itself. Because we think there is another factor why at the time Aung San cannot protect after get Noble peace itself after he become leader itself. For example less of improvement after he try

to campaign the protection from Rohingya there is no power to protect this for example. There is no another people who are care for example, many factor that meant for example that image of Aung San is bad that is actually not ok for government to also blaming the Aung San itself ladies and gentlemen.

We think that we already aaa we think that there is organization because they they believe Noble is only one, we think that another year for example, next year there will be another Noble for example, their people who are want to willing and protect Rohingya will join this Noble for aaa this aa Noble peace for example and we think there is also in a present point, why why in their side is actually failed to explain why there is another Aung San in Rohingya is wrong for example to that aaa for that Rohingya aaa for that Aung San to still have the trophy of this Noble aa Noble aa Noble peace itself so we think this is also sustain with create aa sustain aaa Noble peace itself and which also ok.

Speaker 5

But it also I'm not being okay just only take the right of the people because the title of this Noble is only for the time. We cannot just take it just because the failure in the future right? This actually was in that I'm doing and in the previous.

And the second argumentation why actually Aung San deserve deserve on that time? We think that's actually who aaa Aung San at the time this actually doing a best effort for example because they want to protect the Rohingya the also try to hard a good idea to expression that the idea individual Rohingya and also the society in Myanmar. We think it's actually best effort and that people deserve to became aa to get-a-get-a-peace aaa peace Noble because that time is actually they

are his-his- deserve Mr. and Madam speaker. Even if and like what closing opposition believe because they.. he becomes the leader they become a superior model, we think that it's actually ok because superior model, we think that without revoke this Noble peace that he the existence of she is still inspire them to create another Aung San in Rohingya for example that would like to continue their aaa what is it.. They continue their effort and the protection toward the Rohingya itself Mr. and Madam Speaker.

Then we think is actually better rather than we take the right of the people who are began the best on on-the best on what they do in the previous Mr. and Madam speaker.

We think it's actually aaa we think It is actually okay in in in the status quo that they want to assume a bad think actually aaa the Rohingya aaa Aung San itself is still silent Mr. and Madam speaker.

But-but but we need to understand Mr. and Madam speaker because of the Aung San itself the protection coming from Rohingya is better Mr. and Madam speaker without Aung San the campaign of this protection is less Mr. and Madam speaker. But because of the existence of Aung San to campaign the protection of Rohingya regardless right now he is she is listen she is want protect there will be attention coming from world toward this kind of aa Rohingya itself Mr. and Madam speaker because because the ee because this this aa this Aung San is actually already concerned toward what is actually happen in Rohingya she already succeed to portray the condition why we should give attention to this Rohingya itself. Therefore even if they want to say everything will happen aaa

everything bad thing that happen to this Aung San, we think the protection of Rohingyah is better because another protection except from Aung San, I back to oppose.

Pragmatism is fucking bullshit when that is being a philosophy lot of us when it becomes find out what is the ideal truth and therefore we say this is a necessary, we think the truth is only truth when it's useful to us, we think this is not what we as human being should have lived for. We think that humans being should live in ideal world that have their happiness towards everyone. The point of opening opposition is that they believe Aung San Suu Kyi about beautiful because this is a foul pragmatism because you believe that this is necessary people to get a point. I'm going to prove to you may this is not what this is not truth and Aung San Suu Kyi is actually have capacity even before they rush up in side rise something trouble. Our extensions, we think that Noble peace lies a...our extensions what think about what she should have done before the elections and even out other in the power. We think that the Aung San Suu Kyi is going to direct infrastructure of the opening opposition when they believe is going to...a... this is a necessary strategy to gain all. We think that this is actually not necessary. This is what medi8a gains us in the all days said the speaker. Why is that so? We think this way Aung San Suu Kyi being voted by the people in the Myanmar itself because she fight against the authoritarian.

We think the characterization about the opening of the opposition is very a... is very evil plus peoples of the Myanmar. We think not all peoples in Myanmar is an ultrabuddhist they hate Rohingyah. We need to clarify this that the num the num Rohingya is certain a... the copies that happened in the certain religion that is also

very small in Myanmar itself. We think that's okay there is a very famous class like that.

Speaker 6

We think that this human impact the most of them Aung San Suu Kyi Indian it ir...it ir.... Therefore, we think that the idea of this is so hard plus and she cannot do anything that ... This is not true. She actually able to do something and she should have been able to support the Myanmar and it is support the Rohingya and she chooses not to do that. We think this is a fouls of president, there have their people force of neglect ions towards Rohingya in the end of the rate not of the ... and which is very bad because not only that... that... the only reason why she just not refresh that you see existence because there is no... that she is house. That she is actually not a ... not plus at that time. She is not a pace.

We think that not only them become more extensions also is not staying that she is not expected to be interviewed by itself. This is very pragmatism. Why is that so? Ideas of it not expected by a Muslim. It slip implicit message about. She separate date at the most of it also her sub. We think this is slight much complemented statement coming from the Aung San Suu Kyi actually trying to find for international brotherhood and also sisterhood. We think that what she has to be and she has done is that she needs to unified people and not saying it in the end of the day.

Therefore, we think that this is informant view that this is enrollment that should not be and done by Aung San Suu Kyi itself. Before that, first, we don't see why the one be interviewed this time but second of all, we don't see is not ... we don't

see it's truly because somehow media quote that hitting the situation but why the important thing she already the clarification that there is aa... there is but it's not true. First of all, even if that ohhh... Let's say even if that is not true. We think that in the end, philosophically, when she has the capacity to do even before she is ahhh... in one before, she if got something the rate into the ration. We think that this is something that she hates emmm... that emmm... there is wrong in the end of the day not. Therefore, we think that being pragmatism be proposed by the opening oppositions is not something that she followed in the end of the day. Nah, plus even, secondly, we think that in the end of the day, that even is she rise of power that the government said there's going to happen, we think even when she rise into power. This is exactly what she should has done. This is actually to voting Rohingya is exactly she should have done. Why is that so, Mr Speakers? They think that this only more will be more hatred because oh suddenly there out the reason, they choose Rohingya is that because she's the only that who fight the authoritarian. We think that, exactly why, she needs to find photo because why is that so? Because it costly, there society is actually grading for chicks and they trust society that emmm... Aung San Suu Kyi for that, right? Now, therefore, the increase about the positions from the Aung San Suu Kyi to negotiates society, try convince majority that they care Rohingya is our robot.

Speaker 7

Rohingya is our systems that need to be contacted in the end of them not to mention. You mean that society is actually supporting her in the end of the day. She is not Rohingya and therefore, they have same identity that have a better explicit converses the Rohing... oh... the peoples of Myanmar in the end of the

day, plus we think it's also affect the way that she ask Rohingya in the before...before she rise into power, we think that not only philosophy, she should have not only practice fully, she has the capacity to do so.

We think Noble peace rise people even if there is no ... even if that you have electing of capacity stuff like that, we already came that you should have found for it because it's the case of the opening of government. But nevertheless, that even if in the case of emmm... you also should have also fight for Rohingya in the end of the day like... you also like even like you also have convinced them because you think that it is should have done if you have done the Noble peace rise. Therefore, we think that also ... we think that the position of Aung San Suu Kyi is actually higher of the majority in the end of the day. There is should be a certain of compromising that is citing Aung San Suu Kyi. At least, there is a better chances for Aung San Suu Kyi to convince the people to try to be a role model to say Rohingya not to be discriminated, we think that this is actually what she should have done it when she does not do that, we think that the Noble peace rise, she does not want the Noble peace rise in the end of the day but not closely, emm..closely... emm opposition come in say no no no no no, we cannot take away something emm post success from the submit that she did or someone's did in the future, we think this is very emm very strict. Governing opposition said that we think we can emm.. take away Nobles peace rise when they add declines when they did something that first it is not point that, we think this is actually the philosophical, why you can take someone, you can revoke someone passed success when they do something bad in the future. Why is that so? We think that the idea of revoking Nobles a size of pedal of maintaining the human future is also

the human maintaining. What you have trying to cattle the best in the end of the day. Therefore, we think if we prove to you we in the futures and the past that there is Aung San Suu Kyi as the homes of the Rohingya and homes of Myanmar has already tell to provide the peace that they receive for all. She does not notice up the Noble peace anymore. We should revoke it.

Thank you.

How do way and also the principles how the Noble peace given to somebody. We think that how the way Noble peace given to somebody because at that time, this kind of people is give eee give a contribution to make a peace in the to eee specific space Mr. speaker. How actually this only apply at that time not in the future Mr. speaker. We think that we cannot is expect these people already done eee already give the Noble peace for be for being perfect in the future. We cannot even eee expect these kind of people for being a God in the future Mr. Speaker. How do way, we still have a condition how to apply to Aung San Suu Kyi so how do we? We can't expect to Aung San Suu Kyi for being perfect in the future, for doing a perfect president in the future, for being a God in the future. And how we see Aung San Suu Kyi already done the good strategy right now Mr. Speaker.

How do way she being neutral right now because see their condition right now. Aung San Suu Kyi are around by the majority eee the majority of eeee peoples that in the politic of sectors that actually not ee don't want to be assistance of Rohingyah people Mr. Speaker means that she needs a good strategy to make this ee to make this make this kind of good for being good Mr. Speaker. I'm going to told you 3 things. First of first the principle of eee of Noble itself, second the

process of these happen and then the third is the principle. First thing versus the principles, we need the principles to know how do why the Noble peace a Noble peace given by someone, is given to specific people in specific time in specific condition Mrs. Speaker. We think that this we say the Noble peace is given to somebody from the appreciation to specific people at that time in the terms of condition. That actually the Noble peace eee the Noble or peoples following the Noble peace itself. For example the number, for example can see the nominal peace of theory of evolution itself. Ya we see that this kind of theory of evolution actually not really truly. But we cannot (....) eee we cannot even take eee we see that kind of Noble, the theory of evolution already contro ee contro eee controversial. But we say that some people say that it's wrong and people say its's true Mr. Speaker.

Speaker 8

We cannot even take away this eee take away this Noble peace to specific people and this actually eee this actually this specific people contribute to develop this idea, develop the peace in the specific time, This is actually apply to Aung San Suu Kyi Mr. Speaker. Now opening opposition say a core of the problems because they are silent because Aung San Suu Kyi silent that's why ee that's why we need to revoke eee revoke it eee revoke the itself. We think that's also eee we think the eee the value at the time is when a Rohingya condition is really suffer, and we think that Aung San Suu Kyi already helped them in the terms of humanitarian itself. Even eee even she is silent right now, we think that eee we think that when you silent right now eee as the President you cannot do eee we think that you eee it doesn't mean that you eee it doesn't mean that you violent the

rights itself. We can see the characteristic of the political conditions in Myanmar itself. We think that first thing around by the majority that actually not support Rohingya people itself Mr. Speaker means she need to have a good strategy to make sure and persuade this majority that actually not support her to put their ee to put their options to the Rohingya people itself means that we cannot even magically give ee give a political decisions that actually not even supporting the bad majority of the people itself.

Mr. Speaker, we think that how do way your future is not determined by ee determined by the Noble peace itself Mr. Speaker. How do way your strategy is not determined by the Noble peace itself because the one need actually need ee know the condition is you Mr. Speaker, second of all means that she cannot even act she cannot even act rebels for examples and we see that this kind of neutral condition right now is the testing right now and we have built good strategy right now to get the sympathy for example at that's why the ideas of ee that's why the ideas of neutral and gained the sympathy. It sounds rarely true.

And the second of all process itself Mr. Speaker, my member already told you how do why and the process of getting the Noble peace itself. And how actually this opening opposition and also opening government already agree how do way and also the process of this Aung San Suu Kyi get is in own process and how the way she fight for discrimination toward Rohingyah society and how do way she find in the name of equality of Rohingyah people and how do way she gives the massive contribution toward revolution of Rohingya people itself. Now ee now we have already give admit of this kind of this kind of, aa.. Aung San Suu Kyi already give the contribution and how we also ee and how we also ee agree. The

this kind of Noble peace actually only valuing in how the process of how the process you get this kind of Noble peace. And we also see that's why we see we don't need to revoke this kind of Noble peace. When actually the Noble peace only apply as your own see one in the past itself Mr. Speaker. On how actually the Noble peace only apply for your fight in the past Mr. Speaker, even if see even that's all we think that Aung San Suu Kyi are much better right now rather than the previous President is actually Mr. Speaker, and how do way the previous President support the discrimination to what Rohingya people mean this kind of condition see this kind of see this kind of situation the best thing to do is to be silent and to be in neutral in this position. Third of all and the third of result how do way is to revoke this kind of things. We think that how do way is to revoke this kind of Noble, is kind of, see how the way, it shows see, the the degradation, decrease the credibility of Aung San Suu Kyi will be decreased Mrs. Speaker. We think that the role of society point of you and how she also see how see how she doesn't even contribute towards the society. We need to discuss to hard political condition that actually this time that she is the best leader to make a Myanmar sustainable itself, I propose.